INTERTEXTUAL FUNCTIONS OF PORTRAIT DESCRIPTIONS IN D. H. LAWRENCE'S WOMEN IN LOVE

Andakulova Nilufar

Second-year PhD student at Jizzakh State Pedagogical University, Uzbekistan

Abstract

This article analyzes the intertextual roles of portrait descriptions in D. H. Lawrence's Women in Love (1920), emphasizing how the novel's verbal "portraits" engage cultural memory, visual-art traditions, and conflicting ideological narratives within modernity. The study posits that portrait description functions as a form of intermedial writing, wherein the narrative employs painterly, sculptural, and photographic perspectives. It contends that Lawrence utilizes portraiture not primarily to solidify character identity, but to orchestrate conflicts between surface and depth, social visibility and inner existence, as well as ethical presence and objectification. The article demonstrates that Lawrence's portraits function as intertexts that reference and transform Victorian social portraiture, aestheticist "art for art's sake" sensibilities, and modernist fragmentation through meticulous analysis of recurring descriptive elements—faces, posture, clothing, and the charged dynamics of observation. The analysis shows that portrait descriptions can be used to tell stories: they can predict relational violence, set up power dynamics in conversation, and show how hard it is to stop someone from becoming an image. The article concludes that Lawrence's portraiture is an essential modernist method for revealing the fluidity of identity and the ethical dangers inherent in perception.

Keywords: D. H. Lawrence, Women in Love, intertextuality, portrait description, ekphrasis, intermediality, modernism, gaze.

Introduction

In Women in Love, Lawrence often shows characters in moments of heightened seeing. For example, bodies are "read" like pictures, and faces are where social meaning and private intensity meet. The novel, which came out for the first time in 1920, sees perception as a moral and epistemological issue rather than a neutral way to get information.

So, a portrait description does more than just describe a person. It turns into a story-telling tool that works with the cultural languages that people use to "make sense" of each other. These languages come from portrait painting, social observation, and the way modern life sorts things into categories.

Portrait description is inherently intertextual, as it seldom creates its codes anew. It uses ideas that already exist, like how a "aesthetic" woman should look, how an industrial man's body is imagined, and how refinement, sensuality, and violence are shown in pictures. Intertextuality, in the broad sense of texts speaking through other texts and cultural forms, helps explain why Lawrence's portraits seem both real and unstable at the same time. They reference familiar visual scripts, but they also disrupt them. The descriptive parts of the novel often start out by

trying to create a stable image, but then they break up into competing impressions. This shows how mobility, contradiction, and the limits of representational mastery can work.

This article examines how portrait descriptions in Women in Love engage in intertextuality, influencing the reader's interpretive framework while revealing the ethical implications of transforming individuals into images. Instead of listing every descriptive moment, the analysis focuses on recurring portrait strategies and how they work in the novel's main relational tensions.

The research employs qualitative close reading informed by intertextual and intermedial theory. The main text is Lawrence's Women in Love, and the focus is on scenes where looking and being looked at shape interactions, such as introductions, confrontations, and times when aesthetic judgment is heightened. The interpretive framework integrates three synergistic perspectives. First, intertextuality is viewed as the dissemination of cultural codes (social portraiture, aestheticism, modernist disruption) within narrative discourse. Second, portrait description is seen as intermedial narration that takes ideas from visual media, like how painting focuses on composition, sculpture focuses on form, and photography focuses on the moment, but doesn't turn into a literal description of a real piece of art. Third, the analysis examines the ethics of the gaze: how the act of description can objectify, possess, or resist possession.

Analytically, portrait passages are examined for (a) the descriptive lexicon that indicates visual conventions, (b) transitions from stable imagery to disrupted perception, (c) the connection between portraiture and authority in discourse, and (d) narrative implications such as foreshadowing, alignment, and the establishment of symbolic dichotomies.

Lawrence's descriptions of portraits often start by talking about how easy it is to read them, which is a common trait of nineteenth-century portrait styles. Class, education, refinement, and strength can be read from people's bodies and faces. This is because of their posture, clothing, and how they move. This initial legibility serves as an intertext, invoking the reader's ability to interpret social "portraits" derived from realist fiction and bourgeois portraiture. However, Lawrence employs this legacy strategically rather than nostalgically. The descriptive surface quickly becomes unstable, as if the novel is saying that any social picture is both convincing and not enough. The outcome is a modernist tension between the aspiration to define identity and the acknowledgment that identity transcends its representational forms.

A primary intertextual role of portraiture in the novel is to depict the tension between aesthetic appreciation and moral engagement. When characters gaze at one another with the intensity of an artist or connoisseur—particularly in a narrative context that embodies an overtly artistic sensibility—the descriptive language may inadvertently reduce the other to an object, a composition, or a "piece" for appraisal. Lawrence repeatedly shows how this kind of evaluation turns into control. A description of a portrait then becomes a story-like version of possession: to describe is to claim the right to interpret. The novel also shows how weak that authority is by making portraits that don't make sense. A character can look powerful in one way of describing them and then weak, mechanical, or animal-like in another, which makes it hard for the viewer to figure out what the meaning is

Portrait descriptions also work as a place where older ways of seeing are brought back to life and questioned. When the story needs to be intense or extreme, the language of sculpture and painting—sharp edges, carved stillness, and framed poses—often comes out. This borrowing

between different media works intertextually by bringing in values that are usually linked to high art, like timelessness, mastery of form, and turning something seen into an aesthetic phenomenon. Lawrence employs those values ambivalently. A sculptural stillness can mean self-control and honesty, but it can also mean being stiff, dead, or refusing to live in a way that is mutually beneficial. In other words, the portrait holds the history of portraiture as a cultural practice: a practice that gives people dignity but also risks becoming a fetish.

Another important role is how portrait description arranges the power dynamics in a conversation. Lawrence frequently synchronizes description with dialogue, ensuring that alterations in visual framing align with changes in dominance, vulnerability, or moral pressure. Portraiture can foreshadow speech by depicting a character as already "known" (and therefore already judged), influencing the reader's response to subsequent arguments and emotional appeals. On the other hand, Lawrence can use portrait language to show how a character's claims about themselves are not true, which adds irony and psychological depth. In this way, portraits become a place where different "readings" of a person are staged and fought over.

The descriptions of the portraits in the book also serve as ways to hint at what will happen next. Lawrence seldom employs description for embellishment; rather, he utilizes it to infuse the narrative with implicit consequences. A portrait may encode an inclination towards violence, domination, withdrawal, or radical attachment, not merely as traits, but as vectors of relational potential. One reason the portrait passages feel dynamic is that they are more like unstable exposures than static paintings. They show the potential energy of future conflict. The intertextual dimension is significant as the foreshadowing frequently depends on culturally ingrained associations—what specific types of faces, gestures, and aesthetic categories have represented in prior literary and visual traditions—while the novel concurrently deconstructs those associations.

Lastly, the way Women in Love describes portraits is a criticism of how people are treated as objects in modern society. In a world shaped by industrial discipline and by new forms of social categorization, the person is increasingly pressured to become a type, a role, a readable image. Lawrence's descriptive style is similar to this pressure because he makes typological portraits quickly, but then he fights it by filling the portrait with contradictions, intensities, and embodied excess. The reader must face the fact that any portrait that claims to be final is not stable. Lawrence finds an ethical demand in that instability: to see the other as more than just the picture one makes of them.

In Women in Love, the descriptions of portraits do intertextual work by using old visual and literary styles—like bourgeois portraiture, aestheticist evaluation, and typological realism—and turning them into tools for modernist criticism. Lawrence uses portraiture to show how important perception is: looking means risking possession, and describing means risking reduction. But the novel keeps changing its own portraits, showing that identity is always changing, connected to others, and hard to pin down in pictures. Intertextually, portrait description serves as a venue for the reenactment and contestation of cultural histories of vision, positioning portraiture as a pivotal device through which the novel critiques modernity's aspiration to solidify the human into an image.

References

1. Lawrence D. H. Women in Love. New York: Thomas Seltzer, 1920. 536 p.

NEXT SCIENTISTS CONFERENCES

2. Lawrence D. H. Women in Love. London: Penguin Classics, 2007. 592 p.
3. PenguinRandomhouse.com
4. Bakhtin M. M. The Dialogic Imagination: Four Essays. Austin: University of Texas Press, 1981. 444 p.
5. Kristeva J. Desire in Language: A Semiotic Approach to Literature and Art. New York: Columbia University Press, 1980. 305 p.
6. Genette G. Palimpsests: Literature in the Second Degree. Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press, 1997. 490 p.
7. Mitchell W. J. T. Picture Theory: Essays on Verbal and Visual Representation. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1994. 445 p.

NEXT SCIENTISTS CONFERENCES