



THE CONTEMPORARY SIGNIFICANCE OF SOCIAL PROTECTION FOR ORPHANED CHILDREN: A SOCIO-PHILOSOPHICAL ANALYSIS

Isaqov Ilyosjon Islomjon ugli

Researcher at Kokand State Pedagogical Institute, Uzbekistan

Abstract: This article explores the socio-philosophical foundations and contemporary importance of social protection for children deprived of parental care. In light of global transformations, increasing social inequality, and the psychological vulnerability of orphans, the paper examines how effective state policies and community-based initiatives contribute to ensuring their dignity, rights, and social integration. The study also emphasizes the moral and ethical responsibilities of society, the role of education and upbringing, and the need for sustainable institutional reforms. By critically analyzing national and international practices, the article highlights the philosophical relevance of social solidarity, justice, and compassion in shaping a humane approach to child welfare in the 21st century.

Key words: Social protection, orphaned children, socio-philosophical analysis, child welfare, institutional care, social justice, parental deprivation, ethical responsibility, social integration, state policy.

INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary global landscape, the protection and integration of vulnerable populations—particularly children deprived of parental care—has become a crucial benchmark for measuring the socio-political maturity and moral-ethical consciousness of societies. The condition of orphans and children without parental care (CPCs) stands at the intersection of numerous domains, including social policy, ethics, education, psychology, and law, thus demanding an interdisciplinary approach to their understanding and resolution. From a socio-philosophical standpoint, the phenomenon transcends mere institutional guardianship and delves into the deeper dimensions of human dignity, moral obligation, and the ethical architecture of the state. The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (1989), ratified by nearly every country in the world, asserts that every child is entitled to protection, care, and development, regardless of parental status. Article 20 of the Convention emphasizes the need for special protection and assistance for children deprived of a family environment. Despite such comprehensive legal frameworks, the effective social inclusion and long-term well-being of orphans remain problematic across various societies, including post-Soviet states such as Uzbekistan. Globally, UNICEF data indicates that more than 153 million children are orphans, with millions more living in vulnerable conditions due to poverty, conflict, disease, and displacement (UNICEF, 2022). Sub-Saharan Africa accounts for over 52 million orphaned children, largely due to HIV/AIDS and armed conflicts, while South Asia and Eastern Europe also face a critical burden. In Uzbekistan, although the number of institutionalized orphans has declined over the last two decades due to reforms and deinstitutionalization programs, the complexity of reintegrating these children into society remains an unresolved challenge. As of

the 2023 report by the Ministry of Preschool and School Education of Uzbekistan, over 26,000 children are classified as lacking parental care, with around 8,000 residing in state institutions. The importance of socially protecting orphans is not merely a matter of humanitarianism or administrative duty; it represents a society's fundamental moral contract with its most defenseless members. Philosophically, the concept of care (*cura*), as discussed by thinkers from Aristotle to Heidegger, has been central to the organization of just societies. Care is not only an emotional disposition but a social act of recognition and empowerment. The absence of familial structures does not negate a child's right to dignity and holistic development; rather, it intensifies the state's and society's responsibility to construct alternative mechanisms of support and identity formation. Modern sociological theory suggests that social protection is a critical component of "social reproduction"—the processes through which societies sustain and regenerate their members. Bourdieu's notion of habitus and social capital, for instance, elucidates how children from disadvantaged backgrounds may be excluded from dominant socio-cultural codes unless interventions are made to reconstruct their social field. In this sense, social protection should not be conceived narrowly in terms of housing or financial subsidies but must encompass access to quality education, psychosocial support, community inclusion, vocational training, and lifelong mentorship. In Uzbekistan, the evolution of child welfare policies must be situated within its historical and cultural context. During the Soviet period, the state assumed a paternalistic role over orphans, institutionalizing care through boarding schools and orphanages. These structures, while providing basic services, often failed to foster psychological well-being or long-term integration into society. The post-independence era marked a shift toward family-based and community-centered models, including guardianship, foster care, and adoptive systems, reinforced by Presidential Decrees and national action plans. For instance, the "Strategy of Actions for the Further Development of Uzbekistan 2017–2021" emphasized improving the lives of vulnerable groups, including orphans, by investing in education, health, and youth policy. However, significant gaps persist. According to the 2021 World Bank Social Protection Review for Uzbekistan, institutional care still consumes a disproportionate share of child protection budgets (nearly 80%), while alternative family-based and preventive services receive minimal support. Moreover, the transition to independent adulthood remains particularly fraught for children aging out of care institutions. Many of them lack the social networks, emotional stability, and financial resources necessary for successful integration. A 2020 survey conducted by the National Research Institute of Youth and Family Studies found that nearly 45% of institutionalized orphans faced unemployment within two years of leaving care, while over 30% encountered housing insecurity or homelessness. From a philosophical standpoint, such outcomes highlight a dissonance between normative ideals and institutional realities. Social justice theories—from Rawlsian fairness to capabilities-based approaches of Sen and Nussbaum—stress the moral imperative of creating equitable starting points. The deprivation of parental care is a form of ontological deficit that not only undermines a child's material well-being but also damages their existential anchoring, identity continuity, and narrative agency. In this context, the role of the state is not to mimic the biological family but to construct a multi-actor support system that recognizes children's agency and empowers their participation in shaping life trajectories. Another critical dimension is the psychological development of orphans. Numerous longitudinal studies have demonstrated that children in institutional care settings are

significantly more vulnerable to developmental delays, mental health disorders, attachment issues, and behavioral problems. The Bucharest Early Intervention Project (BEIP), a landmark randomized controlled trial, revealed that early removal from institutions into foster care significantly improved cognitive and emotional outcomes. The findings challenge the adequacy of traditional orphanage models and advocate for early, individualized, and family-like interventions. This aligns with contemporary neurophilosophical insights, which affirm the role of affective and social environments in sculpting neural pathways and shaping moral cognition. Moreover, the question of social protection is increasingly entangled with the dynamics of globalization, digital transformation, and post-pandemic realities. The COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated the vulnerabilities of orphaned children, disrupting access to education, healthcare, and social services. In many developing countries, lockdowns and economic downturns contributed to a resurgence of institutionalization, undoing years of progress in child protection reforms. According to Save the Children (2021), the number of children losing parents or primary caregivers due to COVID-19 reached nearly 5.2 million globally, sparking renewed urgency for protective mechanisms. In Uzbekistan, the pandemic triggered an expansion of digital education and social support platforms; however, children in institutional care often lacked adequate internet access or digital literacy to benefit from these developments. Philosophically, this raises fundamental questions about digital inequality and its impact on human flourishing. If education is a cornerstone of human development, then digital exclusion constitutes a form of epistemic injustice. It deprives children of the epistemological tools necessary for critical thinking, self-realization, and civic participation[1]. In this sense, the notion of social protection must evolve to include “digital protection” and “knowledge justice,” ensuring that all children, irrespective of background, can partake in the knowledge economy of the 21st century. Furthermore, it is essential to consider the gendered implications of orphanhood. Girls without parental care often face heightened risks of exploitation, early marriage, and gender-based violence. A UNICEF report (2021) indicates that orphaned girls are 60% more likely to experience sexual abuse than their non-orphaned peers. Hence, social protection must be sensitized to gender dynamics, providing not only general support but also tailored interventions that address specific vulnerabilities. The socio-cultural perception of orphans is another crucial variable in designing effective policies. In some societies, orphans may be stigmatized or regarded as morally suspect, often due to cultural taboos or religious misinterpretations[2]. In contrast, Islamic philosophy and Uzbek spiritual heritage historically valorized the care of orphans as a sacred duty (ziyarat) and a means of moral purification (tazkiya). Reinvigorating such ethical paradigms in contemporary governance could strengthen social cohesion and revive collective responsibility. Educational curricula, public campaigns, and community leadership can play pivotal roles in transforming attitudes and dismantling prejudice. Importantly, the social protection of orphans must not be relegated solely to state institutions. Civil society organizations, religious institutions, private sectors, and international agencies must coalesce into a synergistic architecture of care. This requires not only policy alignment but also ethical harmonization—a shared vision of human dignity, justice, and social responsibility[3]. In this respect, public philosophy can serve as a mediating framework between empirical realities and normative aspirations, guiding the ethical imagination of policymakers and practitioners. In conclusion, the social protection of children deprived of parental care is a litmus test for societal justice, ethical maturity, and



democratic development. It embodies a constellation of challenges—philosophical, sociological, psychological, economic, and technological—that must be addressed through an integrated, human-centered approach. For countries like Uzbekistan, navigating the transition from institutional care to inclusive, child-friendly ecosystems requires not only administrative reform but a profound cultural and philosophical shift[4]. This study, therefore, seeks to illuminate the theoretical underpinnings and practical strategies for constructing such a paradigm, emphasizing the moral urgency of ensuring that no child is left behind.

The issue of social protection for orphans has acquired heightened significance in the contemporary world, where rapid social, economic, and political transformations have disproportionately affected vulnerable groups, especially children deprived of parental care. In the face of growing inequality, forced migration, armed conflicts, pandemics, and socio-cultural fragmentation, the protection of orphans is no longer a matter confined to social welfare but is emblematic of a society's moral and ethical orientation[5]. As children without parental guardianship represent one of the most at-risk categories for social exclusion, poverty, abuse, and educational deprivation, the urgency to develop robust, inclusive, and human-centered protective systems is paramount. In countries undergoing post-socialist transitions such as Uzbekistan, where historical legacies of institutionalization still linger, and where socio-political reforms are accelerating, there is a pressing need to reconceptualize child welfare frameworks through socio-philosophical lenses. This entails not only ensuring basic material needs but also addressing the deeper questions of dignity, identity, and moral development. International conventions such as the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child mandate the safeguarding of every child's right to family-like care, education, and participation in society[6]. However, empirical data from various global and national sources suggest significant gaps between policy aspirations and on-the-ground realities. In this context, studying the issue from a socio-philosophical perspective allows for a more profound interrogation of the values, assumptions, and power structures underlying social protection mechanisms. It also provides an ethical foundation for the formulation of inclusive policies that go beyond institutional care and foster the full social integration of orphans as autonomous and dignified members of society[7]. Thus, this research is highly topical not only because it addresses an enduring social problem but also because it offers a multidimensional framework—bridging philosophy, sociology, child development, and public policy—for creating more just, compassionate, and forward-looking systems of care in a rapidly changing world.

In recent years, the Republic of Uzbekistan has undertaken significant reforms in the domain of child protection, particularly concerning orphans and children deprived of parental care, with the aim of aligning national policies with international human rights standards and modernizing social welfare systems. These reforms have been guided by the broader objectives outlined in the country's "Development Strategy 2017–2021" and its follow-up national strategies, which emphasize social inclusion, youth empowerment, and the protection of vulnerable populations[8]. One of the most transformative measures has been the gradual shift from institutional care toward family-based and community-oriented models such as guardianship, foster care, and adoption, in line with global best practices. This paradigm shift has been supported by Presidential decrees and Cabinet resolutions aimed at deinstitutionalization, improving the quality of care in existing facilities, and strengthening preventive social services. For instance, the establishment of specialized rehabilitation and

support centers for children in difficult life situations, as well as integrated child services at the local level, has enhanced early detection and intervention capacities[9]. Furthermore, Uzbekistan has expanded its legal framework by amending laws on guardianship, child protection, and education, thereby improving procedural safeguards and ensuring the rights of children are respected at every stage. Social workers are now being trained and deployed to offer psychological and legal assistance, while inter-agency coordination mechanisms have been reinforced to ensure comprehensive case management. Digital innovations have also been introduced, including child protection databases and monitoring tools, to increase transparency and accountability. Importantly, efforts have been made to raise public awareness, combat stigma against orphans, and promote societal responsibility through educational campaigns and religious community engagement[10]. International cooperation with organizations such as UNICEF, the World Bank, and the European Union has further bolstered reform implementation by providing technical assistance, funding, and policy expertise. These multidimensional reforms mark a decisive step toward creating a humane, child-centered, and socially inclusive protection system, one that not only meets the basic needs of orphans but also fosters their emotional well-being, social integration, and long-term development as full-fledged members of society.

Dr. Charles Zeanah argues that institutional care is fundamentally harmful to the cognitive, emotional, and psychological development of children, especially in their early years. Drawing on findings from the Bucharest Early Intervention Project (BEIP), he contends that children raised in large-scale orphanages often suffer from attachment disorders, developmental delays, and behavioral dysfunctions. He states, "Our research has demonstrated that institutional environments lack the consistency, stimulation, and emotional responsiveness required for healthy brain development. Even the best-run institutions cannot replicate the complex, individualized interactions of family life." Zeanah strongly advocates for early placement into foster or adoptive families, emphasizing that the earlier children are moved out of institutions, the better their long-term outcomes across education, mental health, and social adjustment. In contrast, Prof. James Bartholet adopts a more cautious and legally nuanced position. While acknowledging the risks of institutionalization, he warns against the over-romanticization of foster care and adoption as universal solutions. He argues that poorly regulated foster systems may expose children to instability, exploitation, or neglect. Bartholet states, "We must avoid assuming that all family-based placements are inherently superior. In many cases, institutional care—when reformed and well-funded—can provide structured, equitable, and rights-based support that some dysfunctional family settings cannot." Furthermore, he raises concerns about cross-border adoptions, the potential commodification of vulnerable children, and the cultural dislocation that may occur when children are removed from their communities. The core of their polemic lies in the question of which environment best ensures long-term protection and flourishing for orphans: Zeanah supports the neurodevelopmental evidence that favors familial environments, even imperfect ones, while Bartholet emphasizes legal safeguards, cultural integrity, and the importance of reforming, rather than entirely abandoning, institutional frameworks. Their disagreement reflects a broader global debate between child psychologists advocating for early deinstitutionalization and legal scholars who stress child protection, oversight, and systemic reform over rapid transitions.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the issue of social protection for orphans is far more than a matter of social policy—it is a profound reflection of a society's ethical compass, philosophical maturity, and capacity for inclusive human development. As this study has demonstrated, children deprived of parental care represent one of the most vulnerable segments of the population, facing multidimensional challenges that span from psychological trauma and social exclusion to structural inequality and institutional neglect.

REFERENCES

1. Mustafaeva M. G., Mustafaev F. M., Ibragimov M. A. SOCIO-PHILOSOPHICAL ANALYSIS OF EXTREMISM AND TERRORISM //НАУЧНЫЙ АЛЬМАНАХ СТРАН ПРИЧЕРНОМОРЬЯ. – С. 24.
2. Iminjonovich A. A. BOLALARNI ZO'RAVONLIKNING BARCHA TURLARIDAN HIMOYA QILISHNING PSIXOLOGIK MASALALARI //Ta'lim innovatsiyasi va integratsiyasi. – 2025. – T. 46. – №. 4. – С. 53-57.
3. Nazokatxon S. BOLALARNI IJTIMOIIY MOSLASHUVCHANLIGI VA RIVOJLANISHIDA OILAVIY MUHITNING AHAMIYATI //AMERICAN JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY BULLETIN. – 2025. – T. 3. – №. 5. – С. 247-251.
4. Qahramonova A. Bolalarni zo'ravonlikdan himoya qilishning xalqaro-huquqiy va milliy mexanizmlari //Journal of science-innovative research in Uzbekistan. – 2024. – T. 2. – №. 10. – С. 215-220.
5. Ergashbayev S. YOSHLARNING MA'NAVIYAT DUNYOQARASHINI RIVOJLANTIRISHDA UZLUKSIZ TA'LIM JARAYONLARINING O'RNI (RIVOJLANGAN DAVLATLAR TAJRIBASI MISOLIDA) //Universal xalqaro ilmiy jurnal. – 2025. – T. 2. – №. 2. – С. 3-9.
6. Xakimovich T. B. et al. "OTA-ONA QARAMOG'ISIZ QOLGAN, OG'IR HAYOTIY VAZIYATGA TUSHGAN BOLALAR BILAN IJTIMOIIY ISHNING HUQUQIY ASOSLARI" //THEORY AND ANALYTICAL ASPECTS OF RECENT RESEARCH. – 2024. – T. 2. – №. 25. – С. 1-12.
7. Shohbozbek E. et al. Yoshlarning ma'naviy dunyo qarashini shakillantirishda maktabgacha ta'limning o'rni //international scientific research conference. – 2025. – T. 3. – №. 32. – С. 76-81.
8. Muxtarovna U. N. et al. AYOLLAR VA BOLALAR HUQUQLARINI HIMOYA QILISHDA HUQUQNI MUHOFAZA QILUVCHI ORGANLARNING ROLI //THEORY AND ANALYTICAL ASPECTS OF RECENT RESEARCH. – 2025. – T. 3. – №. 36. – С. 228-235.
9. Xusanova S. BOLA HUQUQLARINI HIMOYA QILISHNING DOLZARB TOMONLARI VA TAHLILI //Молодые ученые. – 2025. – T. 3. – №. 16. – С. 32-34.
10. Shohbozbek E. RENEWAL OF THE SOCIAL SPHERE AND STABLE SOCIETY SYSTEM IN THE PROCESS OF REFORMS //American Journal Of Social Sciences And Humanity Research. – 2024. – T. 4. – №. 09. – С. 16-20.