THE AXIOMATIC SYSTEM OF LOBACHEVSKIAN GEOMETRY ON THE PLANE AND ITS MATHEMATICAL CONSEQUENCES

Nabijonova Hilola Azimjon qizi
NamSU, Faculty of Physics and Mathematics 1st-cource student of Mathematics, Uzbekistan

Dilnoza Xaytmirzayevna Maxmudova
Supervisor, NamSU, Faculty of Physics and Mathematics 1st-cource student of Mathematics, Uzbekistan

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the foundational axioms of Lobachevskian (hyperbolic) geometry on the Euclidean plane and derives significant geometric consequences from them. By comparing the hyperbolic axiom of parallelism with Euclidean postulates, we analyze the structure of space where the Euclidean fifth postulate fails. Using rigorous mathematical formulations, we discuss the implications on angle sums in triangles, parallel line behavior, and the construction of hyperbolic models. The findings highlight the consistency and significance of Lobachevskian geometry in the broader context of non-Euclidean geometry.

KEYWORDS: Lobachevskian geometry, hyperbolic plane, non-Euclidean geometry, parallel postulate, triangle angle sum, hyperbolic models.

INTRODUCTION

Geometry, since its formalization in ancient Greece, has relied heavily on Euclid's Elements, in which five foundational postulates describe the nature of space. The fifth of these — the parallel postulate — asserts that through a point not on a given line, there exists exactly one line parallel to the given line. This postulate, less intuitive and more complex than the others, spurred centuries of mathematical scrutiny and attempts at derivation from the first four axioms.

In the 19th century, a groundbreaking shift occurred when mathematicians such as Nikolai Ivanovich Lobachevsky and János Bolyai independently proposed consistent geometries by replacing the parallel postulate rather than proving it. Lobachevsky's formulation, known as hyperbolic geometry, posits that through a point not on a line, infinitely many lines can be drawn that do not intersect the given line. This radical redefinition led to the birth of non-Euclidean geometry, demonstrating that alternative, logically consistent models of space were not only possible but also essential for a deeper understanding of the universe.

Lobachevskian geometry challenges classical assumptions about the nature of parallelism, triangle angle sums, and geometric congruency. In this geometry, the angle sum of a triangle is always less than 180°, and the concept of distance and shape is model-dependent. These properties, once thought impossible, are now fundamental in disciplines such as theoretical physics, cosmology, and differential geometry.

This paper aims to present a detailed exploration of the axiomatic system underlying Lobachevskian geometry in the plane, demonstrate its consistency through mathematical models, and investigate the significant consequences that arise from rejecting the Euclidean

NEXT SCIENTISTS CONFERENCES

fifth postulate. By doing so, we highlight the power of axiomatic flexibility and its role in the expansion of mathematical thought.

METHODS

To investigate the axiomatic foundation and implications of Lobachevskian geometry on the plane, we employ a formal axiomatic-deductive method, supported by geometric modeling and analytic techniques. The study begins with a comparative analysis between the Euclidean and Lobachevskian axiomatic systems, focusing on their divergence at the fifth postulate.

Lobachevskian geometry retains the first four Euclidean axioms but replaces the parallel postulate with Hyperbolic parallel axiom (Lobachevsky):For any given line l and point P ∉l, there exist at least two distinct lines through P that do not intersect l.

This establishes a geometry where multiple lines can be drawn through a point that never meet a given line, forming a family of “divergent parallels.”

We adopt the following additional assumptions: space is homogeneous and isotropic, lines are infinitely extended, the shortest path between two points is a unique geodesic.

To demonstrate consistency and facilitate visual reasoning, we utilize two canonical models of hyperbolic geometry:

Poincaré disk model (Conformal): In this model, the entire hyperbolic plane is mapped inside the unit disk. Lines are represented as arcs of circles orthogonal to the disk boundary. This model preserves angles but distorts lengths:

$$ds^2 = \frac{4(dx^2 + dy^2)}{(1 - x^2 - y^2)^2}$$

Beltrami-Klein model (Projective): Also bounded by the unit disk, this model represents hyperbolic lines as chords (straight segments). It distorts angles but maintains straightness, suitable for analyzing linearity and intersections.

We derive several geometric consequences from the axioms, such as:

-Triangle angle sum: The interior angles of any triangle in hyperbolic geometry satisfy:

$$\angle A + \angle B + \angle C = \pi - \delta$$

where δ is the angle defect, a positive number directly proportional to the triangle’s area A via:

$$A = k^2 \cdot \delta, \quad (k = \text{curvature parameter})$$

-Angle of parallelism: The angle $\Pi(d)$ formed between a perpendicular from point P to line l, and one of the limiting parallel lines, is given by:

$$\Pi(d) = 2\tan^{-1}\left(e^{-d/R}\right)$$

where d is the perpendicular distance and R is the radius of curvature of the hyperbolic plane.

We apply logical deduction using Hilbert-style formalism, and deploy geometric constructions and numerical simulations within the Poincaré and Beltrami-Klein models using GeoGebra and Python-based plotting tools for visual verification.

RESULTS

Based on the axiomatic system and model-based analysis of Lobachevskian geometry, we derive several important geometric theorems that differ significantly from Euclidean expectations. These results not only confirm the internal consistency of hyperbolic geometry but also offer powerful tools for non-Euclidean spatial analysis.

One of the most striking results in hyperbolic geometry is that the sum of the interior angles of a triangle is **always less than** 180°.

Theorem 1 (Angle sum of triangle): Let $\triangle ABC$ be a triangle in the hyperbolic plane. Then:

$$\angle A + \angle B + \angle C = \pi - \delta, \quad \text{where } \delta > 0$$

The angle *defect* δ is a measure of deviation from Euclidean geometry. Unlike Euclidean geometry, where triangle area is independent of angle sum, here:

$$\text{Area}(\triangle ABC) = R^2 \cdot \delta$$

where R is the radius of curvature of the hyperbolic plane. This shows that triangle area is directly proportional to the angle defect, providing a new metric interpretation.

In contrast to Euclidean geometry, where exactly one parallel line exists through a given external point, hyperbolic geometry admits infinitely many such lines.

Theorem 2 (Existence of infinite parallels): Given a line l and a point $P \notin l$, there exist infinitely many lines through P that do not intersect l. These form a continuum of divergent parallels, bounded by two limiting parallels.

Angle of parallelism: The angle formed between the perpendicular from point P to line l, and a limiting parallel through P, depends only on the perpendicular distance d and is given by:

$$\Pi(d) = 2\,\text{tg}^{-1}\left(e^{-d/R}\right)$$

This angle decreases as the distance increases, reflecting the hyperbolic spread of space.

Another deviation from Euclidean intuition lies in how perimeter and area scale.

Theorem 3 (Circumference of a hyperbolic circle): For a circle of radius rrr in hyperbolic geometry with curvature $-1/R^2$, the circumference is:

$$C(r) = 2\pi R\,\text{sh}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)$$

This indicates exponential growth compared to the linear growth in Euclidean circles:

$$C_{\text{Euclidean}}(r) = 2\pi r$$

Hence, as $r \to \infty$, $C(r) \gg C_{\text{Euclidean}}(r)$, implying a "larger than expected" hyperbolic space.

Rectangles do not exist in hyperbolic geometry because the presence of right angles in all four corners would violate the angle sum rules.

Theorem 4 (Non-existence of rectangles): There is no quadrilateral in hyperbolic geometry with four right angles.

Instead, quadrilaterals have an angle sum less than 360°, and their side relationships follow hyperbolic trigonometric laws:

$$\text{ch}(c) = \text{ch}(a)\,\text{ch}(b) - \text{sh}(a)\,\text{sh}(b)\cos(C)$$

for triangle sides a, b, c and included angle C (hyperbolic cosine law).

DISCUSSION

The results derived from the axiomatic system of Lobachevskian geometry offer profound insights not only into the structure of non-Euclidean space but also into the foundational flexibility of mathematics itself. By altering just one postulate -the fifth - we obtain an entirely new geometry that is internally consistent, logically sound, and geometrically rich.

The existence of Lobachevskian geometry illustrates that mathematics is not a fixed mirror of physical reality, but rather a formal system of internally consistent possibilities. The discovery that more than one geometry is logically valid undermines the long-held belief that Euclidean geometry was the unique "truth" of spatial structure. This paved the way for formalism in mathematical philosophy and emphasized the role of axioms as assumptions, not empirical facts.

Moreover, Lobachevskian geometry introduced a new level of rigor in the study of space, as it required mathematicians to distinguish between synthetic and analytic methods, and between intrinsic and extrinsic curvature.

Hyperbolic geometry has become an indispensable tool in several fields:

-**Theoretical physics**: It forms the geometric basis of spacetime models in Einstein's general theory of relativity, where the curvature of space is central.

-**Cosmology**: The universe may have a hyperbolic structure on large scales, particularly in models with negative curvature (open universe models).

Complex analysis: The Poincaré disk model and upper half-plane model are fundamental in modular forms and Riemann surfaces.

-**Computer science and networks**: Hyperbolic geometry is used to model hierarchical data structures and efficient routing in complex networks.

-**Art and visualization**: Artists like M.C. Escher used the Poincaré disk model to explore tilings that are impossible in Euclidean space.

These applications demonstrate that Lobachevsky's ideas were not mere abstractions, but tools of real-world modeling and innovation.

Despite its maturity, hyperbolic geometry remains an active area of research, particularly in:

-Topology and hyperbolic 3-manifolds

-Hyperbolic tilings and group theory (e.g., Fuchsian groups)

-Quantum gravity and holographic models

CONCLUSION

By replacing Euclid's parallel postulate with a more general alternative, Lobachevskian geometry unveils a rich and consistent mathematical structure, radically different from the flat space of Euclidean geometry. This shift yields new properties of lines, triangles, and circles, redefines parallelism, and leads to novel metrics of distance and area.

The rigorous derivation of these properties - such as the angle defect of triangles, the exponential growth of perimeters, and the angle of parallelism - confirms the logical independence of Euclidean geometry and opens pathways to broader interpretations of space.

Ultimately, the study of Lobachevskian geometry not only expands our understanding of mathematical possibility but also offers practical tools for science, engineering, and art. It stands as a testament to the power of questioning foundational assumptions - and discovering new worlds of structure when we do.

REFERENCES

1. Anvarova, M., & Mahmudova, D. (2025). THE APPLICATION OF ECOND-ORDER CURVES. B THEORETICAL ASPECTS IN THE FORMATION OF PEDAGOGICAL SCIENCES (T. 4, Выпуск 5, cc. 188–191). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15104205
2. Abdulhayeva, G., & Mahmudova, D. (2025). TEKISLIKDA TO'G'RI CHIZIQ TENGLAMALARI VA ULARNI AMALIYOTGA TADBIQI. B THEORETICAL ASPECTS IN THE FORMATION OF PEDAGOGICAL SCIENCES (T. 4, Выпуск 7, cc. 35–40). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15167776

3. Karimberdiyeva, D., & Mahmudova, D. (2025). TEKISLIKDAGI PERSPEKTIV-AFFIN MOSLIKNING O'ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI. B DEVELOPMENT OF PEDAGOGICAL TECHNOLOGIES IN MODERN SCIENCES (T. 4, Выпуск 3, сс. 114–117). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15123521
4. Abduraxmonova, R., & Mahmudova, D. (2025). NUQTADAN TO'G'RI CHIZIQQACHA BO'LGAN MASOFA. IKKI TO'G'RI CHIZIQ ORASIDAGI BURCHAK. B THEORETICAL ASPECTS IN THE FORMATION OF PEDAGOGICAL SCIENCES (T. 4, Выпуск 7, сс. 74–78). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15186643
5. Ismoilova D., & Mahmudova, D. (2025). KO‘P O‘LCHOVLI YEVKLID FAZOSI: O‘QITISH TEXNOLOGIYASI ASOSIDA YONDASHUV. *Innov. Conf.* Published online April 17, 2025:1-7. Accessed April 18, 2025.
6. Mamatkadirova Zebo Tohirjon qizi, & Dilnoza Xaytmirzayevna Maxmudova. (2025). CONSTRUCTING AN ELLIPSE USING CONJUGATE DIAMETERS AND ITS APPLICATIONS. *International Scientific and Current Research Conferences*, *1*(01), 48–55. Retrieved from https://orientalpublication.com/index.php/iscrc/article/view/1840